Stability Properties of Constrained Queueing Systems and Scheduling Policies for Maximum Throughput in Multihop Radio Networks

Leandros Tassiulas and Anthony Ephremides, Member, IEEE

Abstract—The stability of a queueing network with interdependent servers is considered. The dependency of servers is described by the definition of their subsets that can be activated simultaneously. Multihop packet radio networks (PRN's) provide a motivation for the consideration of this system. We study the problem of scheduling the activation under the constraints imposed by the dependency among them. The performance criterion of a scheduling policy is its throughput that is characterized by its stability region \( C_P \), that is, the set of vectors of arrival rates for which the system is stable. A policy \( \pi_0 \) is obtained which is optimal in the sense that its stability region \( C_{\pi_0} \) is a superset of the stability region of every other scheduling policy. The stability region \( C_{\pi_0} \) is characterized. Finally, we study the behavior of the network for arrival rates that lie outside the stability region. Implications of the results in certain types of concurrent database and parallel processing systems are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

We consider a queueing network model that is suitable for communication networks with interdependent service components. The queueing network has arbitrary topology and multiple servers. The servers are interdependent in that they cannot provide service simultaneously. The dependency among them is reflected on the constraints which specify exactly which subsets of servers may be active simultaneously. For example, when the constrained queueing system is used as a model of a radio network, the servers correspond to the links and the constraints disallow simultaneous transmissions for neighboring links. We consider slotted time. At each time slot, routing decisions are taken for the served customers and eligible sets of servers are selected for activation. We assume that these decisions are made in a centralized fashion and are based on global knowledge of the queue lengths in the entire network. We assume that buffer at each queue is infinite. We consider the system to be stable if the queues do not tend to increase without bound. We wish to find control policies under which the system is stable for given arrival and service rates. Indeed, we characterize the region of arrival and service rate vectors for which there exists some stabilizing policy, and do find a policy which in fact stabilizes the system for all arrival and service rate vectors in that region. Such a policy is in a sense optimal as far as throughput is concerned.

Our main motivation for the consideration of this constrained queueing network model is to study the resource allocation problem in multihop radio networks. We are interested in scheduled link activation schemes, as opposed to random access methods, for sharing a common channel among neighboring nodes. In scheduled link activation, a sequence \( S, t = 1, 2, \ldots \), of sets of links which may transmit simultaneously without conflicts is specified (the schedule) and at each slot \( t \) the links of the set \( S_t \) are allowed to transmit. The link activation scheduling problem is to determine the sequence \( S_t \) in a fashion that optimizes some performance index. Most of the schemes for the scheduling problem have the following form. A sequence \( S_1, \ldots, S_t \) of eligible link sets is selected and the entire schedule consists of periodic repetition of that sequence. Several approaches have been taken for the determination of the basic schedule sequence \( S_1, \ldots, S_t \). In [5], [6], [16], [18], and [20] different performance criteria are adopted and either optimal or suboptimal computation of \( S_1, \ldots, S_t \) follows. Special emphasis has been given in obtaining distributedly implementable algorithms for the design of \( S_1, \ldots, S_t \). In [19] the problem of optimal design of a fixed (state independent) schedule is considered and results analogous to the golden ratio policy in a single-hop network [10] are obtained. In [5] scheduling schemes are considered where the set of activated links at each slot is selected based on the network state in that slot. In this work, we consider dynamic link activation scheduling where the activated links at each slot are selected based on the queue lengths at all network nodes. The maximum throughput policy that we obtain for the constrained queueing model provides a link activation method that stabilizes the network for all arrival rates for which it is stabilizable.
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In addition to multihop radio networks, the constrained queuing model is appropriate for other resource allocation problems as well. A model of a database with concomitant control and locking has been considered in [11], [14], and [15]: the constrained queuing system that we study in this paper captures that database model where the constraints reflect the locking constraints of the database and the policy that we propose provides a concurrency control algorithm that achieves maximum throughput. In [3], a generalized multiserver queue is proposed as a model of certain parallel processing systems; that multiserver queue can also be modeled by an appropriate constrained queuing system.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we describe the constrained queuing model. In Section III, we state the stability performance criteria and we present the optimality results. In Section IV, the behavior of the system in the instability region is investigated. In Section V, we demonstrate how the constrained queuing system appropriately models multihop radio networks and certain computer systems. A few words about the notation before we proceed. The random quantities are denoted by upper case letters; for the nonrandom quantities we reserve the lower case letters. Vectors are denoted by boldface character. A random process, that is, a sequence of random variables indexed by time is denoted by the same symbol as the random variables without the time index.

II. THE CONSTRAINED QUEUING MODEL

We consider a network consisting of \( L \) nodes and \( N \) links. The connectivity of the system is represented by the directed graph \( G = (V, E) \), where \( V \) is the set of nodes and \( E \) is the set of links (Fig. 1). Each link corresponds to a server that serves customers residing at the origin node of the link; after service, the customers are directed to the destination node of the link. The origin and destination nodes of link \( i \) are denoted by \( g(i) \) and \( h(i) \), respectively. The terms servers and links are used interchangeably in the following. A customer may enter the network at any node. Its destination is a subset of the network nodes in the sense that as long as the customer reaches any of these nodes it leaves the system. Each customer reaches its destination by appropriate routing through the network. There are \( J \) customer classes which are distinguished by the destinations of the customers. The set of destination nodes for class \( j \) is \( V_j \). At each node \( i \) customers of all classes are queued, except of those classes \( j \) for which node \( i \) is a destination, that is, \( i \in V_j \) (any customer of the latter classes leaves the system as long as it reaches node \( i \)). We consider slotted time. At each slot \( t \) certain links originating from node \( l \) provide service; those are the active links at slot \( t \). Notice that the customers are not committed to specific outgoing links of a node \( l \) by the time they reach \( l \) but at the beginning of each slot a decision is taken which customers (of which classes) are allocated at which links. This decision corresponds to routing.

III. THE ACTIVATION SET

There are constraints in the simultaneous activation of the servers in the sense that certain servers cannot provide service at the same time. An activation set is a set of servers which can be activated in the same slot. An activation set is represented by its activation vector, that is, a binary vector with \( N \) elements; the \( i \)th element corresponds to server \( i \), and is equal to 1 if server \( i \) belongs to the activation set and to 0 otherwise. The terms activation set and activation vector will be used interchangeably in the rest of the paper. The constraint set \( S \) consists of all activation vectors of the system; this set completely specifies the activation constraints. We make the following assumption about the structure of the constraint set which is natural in the systems we consider.

C.1 Every subset of an activation set is an activation set itself.

At the beginning of each slot an activation set of links is selected that provide service during the slot. This is referred to as scheduling in the following.

A. Queue Length Dynamics

The servers are synchronized to start service at the beginning of a time slot. At each slot, we control the system through the selection of the activation set and of the class of the customer assigned to each activated server for service. The binary variable \( E_s(i) \) indicates whether server \( i \) is activated in slot \( t \) or not and which customer class it serves; if \( E_s(i) = 1 \) server \( i \) is activated and serves a customer of class \( j \) otherwise it is not. A customer served by server \( i \) in slot \( t \) completes service with some probability \( m_i \). More specifically, we consider a binary variable \( M_s(i) \) and a customer served by server \( i \) during slot \( t \) completes service and moves from queue \( g(i) \) to queue \( h(i) \) if \( M_s(i) = 1 \); otherwise it remains at queue \( g(i) \). The vector \( E_t = \{E_s(i); i = 1, \ldots, N, j = 1, \ldots, J \} \) indicates which class each server serves at slot \( t \). A binary vector \( e = \{e_j; i = 1, \ldots, N, j = 1, \ldots, J \} \) is a multiclass activation vector if the corresponding vectors \( e_t = \{e_j; i = 1, \ldots, N, j = 1, \ldots, J \} \) are such that \( \sum_{j=1}^{J} e_j = 1 \). Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be the collection of all multiclass activation vectors. At each slot \( t \) the vector \( E_t \) is selected from the set \( \mathcal{E} \). The decisions are based on the number of customers of each class in each queue. This information is represented as
follows. Let $X_i(t)$ be the number of customers of class $j$ at queue $l$ by the end of slot $t$ (for the beginning of slot $t + 1$). The vector $X(t) = (X_i(t); i = 1, \ldots, L, j = 1, \ldots, J)$ consists of the lengths of the queues of all customer classes and is called the multiclass queue length vector at slot $t$. We denote by $\mathcal{X}$ the space where the vector $X(t)$ lies.

Consider a function $g: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}$; if $g(x) = e = (e_j; j = 1, \ldots, N, i = 1, \ldots, J)$, then denote the vector $e$ by $g(x)$. An activation rule is a function $g: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}$ with the property that no servers are considered activated for nonexistent customers, that is, the number of servers of queue $l$ activated by the activation vector $g(x)$ are less than or equal to $x_l$; where servers of queue $l$ are those servers $i$ for which $q(i) = l$. An activation policy is a collection of activation rules $g_t, t = 1, 2, \ldots$; at slot $t$ we have $E(t) = g(X(t - 1))$. Until Section V, we consider stationary policies that are policies which use the same activation rule at each slot. In Section V, it will become clear that we do not gain anything with respect to stability if we consider nonstationary policies in addition to stationary. The class of all stationary activation policies is denoted by $H$. When the network is operated by policy $\pi$ with activation rule $g$, at slot $t + 1$ we have $E(t + 1) = g(X(t))$ where $E(t) = (E_i(t); i = 1, \ldots, N)$ is the activation vector of class $j$ at slot $t$. The state of the system evolves according to the following equation:

$$X(t + 1) = X(t) + R(t + 1)E(t + 1)$$

$$+ A(t + 1) \quad t = 0, 1, \ldots, N$$

where $M(t)$ is a diagonal matrix, the $i$th diagonal element of which is equal to $M_i(t), \lambda(x_i(t); i = 1, \ldots, L)$ is the vector of the queue lengths of class $j$ by the end of slot $1$, $\lambda(x_i(t); i = 1, \ldots, L)$ is a vector with its $i$th element $\lambda_i(t)$ being equal to the number of customers of class $j$ arriving at queue $l$ during slot $t$ and $R(t)$ is an $L \times N$ matrix that reflects the connectivity of the queues among themselves and with the destination node of class $j$. Matrix $R(t)$ is called the routing matrix of class $j$. The element of $R(t)$ in its $i$th row and $j$th column is

$$r_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } h(i) = l \text{ and queue } l \text{ is not congested with the destination node of class } i. \\ -1, & \text{if } q(i) = l \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We assume that $(\lambda_i(t); i = 1, \ldots, L)$ are i.i.d. sequences of random variables for all $i = 1, \ldots, L, j = 1, \ldots, J$. Furthermore, we assume that the above processes are independent among themselves and the second moment of the arrival processes $\mathbb{E}[\lambda^2(i)]$ is finite. Under those statistical assumptions and for any policy in $H$ the queue length process $(X(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Markov chain. Finally, we make the following assumption concerning the topology of the network:

(i) If a customer of class $j_0$ may reach some queue $l_0$ then this customer may be forwarded from queue $l_0$ to some destination node of class $j_0$ if an appropriate $h$ is selected. More specifically, if there is a sequence of servers $i_1, \ldots, i_n$ such that $E_i[\lambda(i_j)h(i)_{t+1}] > 0$, then the sequence $l_0, \ldots, l_n$ such that the queue $q(i_j)$ receives no traffic of class $j_0$, $h(i)$ at $l_n$ exists, $m = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ then there exists a sequence of servers $l_0, \ldots, l_n$ such that the queue $q(i_j)$ receives no traffic of class $j_0$, $h(i)$ at $l_n$ exists, $m = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ there exists a link in $E_l$ from $h(i)$ to the destination node of class $j_0$.

III. STABILITY CONSIDERATIONS

The system is stable if the queue length process reaches a steady state and does not blow to infinity. When Markov chain $X$ is irreducible, stability of the system equivalent to ergodicity of $X$. Under the general assumptions we made about the constraint set and the top of the queueing system we cannot guarantee irreducible of the queue length process. In the general case, the space is partitioned in transient and recurrent states. Consider the system to be stable if all recurrent states are positive recurrent and the queue length process hits remain in the set of transient states forever. In the following, we state our definition of stability after we see some basic facts from Markov chain theory (12).

A state $x$ is reachable if some state $y$ if $P\{X(t + 1) = x | X(t) = y\} > 0$ for some $n \geq 1$. The states $x$ and $y$ communicate if they are reachable by each other. A state $m$ is closed if $P\{X(t + 1) = m | X(t) = m\} = 0$ for $y \in R, x \in R$. The state space of the chain is partitioned in the sets $T, R_n, R_\infty$, where $R_n, R_\infty$ are $\mathcal{S}$ sets of communicating states and $T$ contains all states which do not belong to any closed set of communicating states and therefore are transient. For any $x \in T$ assume that $X(0) = x$ and consider the time

$$\tau_x = \min \{t > 0: X(t) \in T\},$$

where $\tau_x$ represents the time at which the chain hits some of the sets $R$ for the first time. We let $\tau_x = \infty$ if $X(t)$ never enters $T$. We can now define stability as follows.

**Definition 3.1:** The system is stable if for the queue length process $X(t)$ we have

$$P(\tau_x < \infty) = 1, \quad \forall y \in T$$

and all states $x \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} R_n$, are positive recurrent.

The next theorem states sufficient conditions for stability of the system according to Definition 3.1. In these conditions, each component $h$ is a drift of a test (Lyapunov) function on the state space of the chain.

**Theorem 3.1:** Consider a Markov chain $X(t)$ with state space $\mathcal{X}$. If there exists a lower bounded real function
\( V: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) an \( \epsilon > 0 \) and a finite subset \( \mathcal{S}_0 \) of \( \mathcal{S} \) such that
\[
E[V(X(t+1)) - V(X(t))] I(X(t) = y) \leq -\epsilon \quad \text{if} \ y \in \mathcal{S}_0. \tag{3.2}
\]
\[
E[V(X(t+1)|X(t) = y] \leq \alpha \quad \text{if} \ y \in \mathcal{S}_0. \tag{3.3}
\]
then for the time \( \tau \) as defined in (3.1) we have
\[
P(\tau < \infty) = 1 \quad \forall x \in T
\]
and all states \( x \in \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty R_j \) are positive recurrent.

**Proof.** The theorem is a trivial extension of Foster's criteria for irreducible chains [2].

**A. Scheduling for Maximum Throughput**

We would like the system to be stable for a wide range of arrival rates. The arrival rate of class \( j \) to queue \( I \), \( E(A_{ij}(t)) \) is denoted by \( a_{ij} \). The multiclass arrival rate vector \( \mathbf{a} = (a_{ij})_i = 1, \ldots, L; j = 1, \ldots, J \) consists of the arrival rates of all classes at all queues. We quantify the performance of an activation policy by its stability region.

**Definition 3.2.** Stability region \( C_\pi \) of policy \( \pi \) is the set of multiclass arrival rate vectors \( \mathbf{a} \) for which the system is stable under \( \pi \).

We wish a policy \( \pi \) to have a large stability region. Roughly speaking, the largest the stability region the better the policy is.

**Definition 3.3.** A policy \( \pi_1 \) dominates another policy \( \pi_2 \) if \( C_{\pi_1} \supset C_{\pi_2} \).

If policy \( \pi_1 \) dominates policy \( \pi_2 \), the system is stable under \( \pi_1 \) whenever it is stable under \( \pi_2 \) (Fig. 2). Two policies are not always comparable since it may be that no one dominates the other. This is the case for policies \( \pi_3 \) and \( \pi_1 \) in Fig. 2.

**Definition 3.4.** The stability region of the system is
\[
C = \bigcup_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}} C_\pi.
\]
The set \( C \) contains all arrival rate vectors for which there exists a policy in \( H \) that stabilizes the system. An optimal policy, that is, one which dominates any other policy in \( H \), should have stability region that is a superset of the stability region of any other policy in \( H \); therefore, it should have stability region equal to \( C \). Such a policy is called a maximum throughput policy in the rest of the paper. Notice that since two policies may not have comparable stability regions, it is not clear at all whether a maximum throughput policy exists or not. One of our main results is that an optimal policy indeed exists.

**B. Maximum Throughput Policy**

The policy \( \pi_0 \) that we specify next achieves maximum throughput. The activation rule for \( \pi_0 \) is denoted by \( g_0(t) \); the vector \( E(t) = g_0(X(t-1)) \) is selected in three stages. Let us denote the service rate \( E(M(t)) \) by \( m_i \); the service rate vector is \( \mathbf{m} = (m_i, i = 1, \ldots, N) \).

**Stage 1.** For each server \( i \) a weight \( D_i(t) \) is selected as follows. For each class \( j \) and server \( i \) consider the follow-

\[
F_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq D_i(t), \text{ and } X_{0ij}(t) = 1, \ldots, N; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

**Remarks:**

1) If \( D_i(t) \) is greater than zero and server \( i \) serves a customer of class \( j \) during slot \( t \) then the quantity \( D_i(t) \) tends to be reduced. That is, the difference between \( X_{0ij}(t) \) and \( X_{0ij}(t-1) \) is diminished. Policy \( \pi_0 \) selects \( E(t) \) such that the servers \( i \) and the corresponding classes \( j \) for which \( D_i(t) \) is larger are activated. In other words, \( \pi_0 \) tends for each class to equalize the queue lengths of the same class in different network nodes, giving priority to the servers and classes for which this difference is larger.

2) The implementation of policy \( \pi_0 \) requires the solution of the following optimization problem at each time slot \( t \):

\[
\max_{E(t)} \text{max } F(t)\epsilon \quad \text{subject to} \quad \text{constraints (3.4)}
\]
The number of possible activation vectors (the cardinality of $S$) is usually largely compared to the number of servers; in fact, it is of exponential order with respect to the number of servers most of the times. Therefore, the solution of the above optimization problem by exhaustive search of all activation vectors is usually out of the question. In certain cases, the constraint set $S$ has a specific structure that can be utilized for the solution of (3.4). In Section V, the constraint sets are illustrated for several communication and computer systems. Finding efficient algorithms for the solution of (3.4) given the constraint set $S$ in each particular application is important for the implementation of $\pi^\pi_n$.

C. Characterization of the Stability Region

We proceed now to characterize the system stability region $C$. The set $C$ that we specify next plays an essential role in the characterization of $C$ since, as it will be shown later, $C' \subseteq C \subseteq \bar{C}$, where $\bar{C}$ is the closure of $C$; the closure of $C$ is well defined since $C' \subseteq C$ is a subset of $R^{L \times L}$. The definition of $C'$ involves deterministic flows in the graph $G$ and the heuristic discussion that precedes its definition provides some intuition.

Assume that the constrained queueing system is stable under some scheduling policy $\pi$ and that it operates in steady state. Let $f_j$ be the rate with which customers of class $j$ are served by server $i$. Since the system is in steady state, the rate with which customers of class $j$ enter some queue $\ell$ should be equal to the rate with which customers of the same class leave the queue $\ell$; that is, the rates $f_j$ should satisfy the flow conservation equations in each network node. Consider a multicommodity arrival rate vector $a$ and let $a^\prime = (a_{\ell j}, \ell = 1, \ldots, L)$ be the vector which contains the arrival rates of class $j$ at all network queues for $j = 1, \ldots, J$. The vector $a^\prime = (f_j, i = 1, \ldots, N)$ that consists of nonnegative numbers and satisfies the flow conservation equations which are written in a matrix form as

$$a^\prime = -R^\prime \ell$$

is called an $a$-admissible flow vector for class $j$. The vector $f' = (f_j, i = 1, \ldots, N, j = 1, \ldots, J)$ that consists of nonnegative numbers and is such that the corresponding vectors $f'$ satisfy (3.5) for $j = 1, \ldots, J$ is an $a$-admissible multicommodity flow vector. Let $F_\pi$ be the set of all $a$-admissible multicommodity flow vectors. Associated with a vector $f' \in F_\pi$ is the vector $\ell' = \sum_{j=1}^{J} f_j \ell'$. The component of $\ell$ that corresponds to server $i$ is the total rate with which customers are served by server $i$, irrespective of their classes; therefore, $\ell$ is called total flow vector. The set $C'$ is defined now as follows:

$$C' = \{a: \text{there exists } f' \in F_\pi, \ell' \in \ell(\ell) \text{ such that for the corresponding } f' \text{ we have } m^{-1}f'_j < c_j \text{ if } f_j > 0 \text{ and } c'_i = 0 \text{ if } c_i = 0\}$$

where $\ell(\ell)$ the convex hull of the constraint set $S$. The closure of $C'$ is characterized in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1**: The closure of $C'$ is as follows:

$$C^\prime = \{a: \text{there exists } f' \in F_\pi, \ell' \in \ell(\ell) \text{ and } a \in \ell(\ell) \text{ such that } M^{-1}f' \leq c\}$$

where $M$ is the diagonal matrix with $ith$ diagonal element equal to $m_i$. $i = 1, \ldots, N$.

**Proof**: It appears in the appendix.

D. Optimality Results

The optimality of $\pi_0$ and the characterization of $C$ are stated in this section. Two lemmas precede the theorem. In the following lemma, we show that under $\pi_0$ the system is stable in $C$. It is shown that a quadratic function of the queue length vector satisfies the conditions (3.2) and (3.3) therefore, stability follows from Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 3.2**: Under policy $\pi_0$ the system is stable for every $a \in C'$.

$$C' \subseteq C_{\pi_0}$$

**Proof**: It appears in the appendix.

**Lemma 3.3**: If $a \in (C')^\prime$, then the system is unstable for any policy in $H$.

**Proof**: It appears in the appendix.

Policy $\pi_0$ achieves indeed maximum throughput as it is stated in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2**: The set $C$ characterizes the system stability region in the sense

$$C' \subseteq C \subseteq \bar{C}$$

and for the stability region of policy $\pi_0$ we have

$$C' \subseteq C_{\pi_0} \subseteq C \subseteq \bar{C}$$

**Proof**: By definition of the system stability region we have $C_{\pi_0} \subseteq C$ and from Lemma 3.2

$$C' \subseteq C_{\pi_0} \subseteq C$$

From Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 we have the following:

$$C' \subseteq C \subseteq \bar{C}$$

The theorem follows from (3.6) and (3.7).

**Remarks**: 1 From the first part of Theorem 3.2 we have $C' \subseteq C \subseteq \bar{C}$. It is argued in the following that $C' \subseteq C$ is the boundary of $C$ which is a surface (thus no interior) in the space where $a$ lies. We claim that for no $a \in (C' \subseteq C$ there exists a ball centered in $a$ which belongs to $C' \subseteq C$. If a belongs to $C' \subseteq C$ then $\delta a$ does not belong to $C'$ for any $\delta > 1$. This is because if $\delta a \in C'$ then from the definition of $C'$ and Lemma 3.1 we have that $\delta a \in C'$. In this case a does not belong to $C' \subseteq C$ which is a
IV. BEHAVIOR OF THE SYSTEM UNDER NONSTATIONARY POLICIES

In this section, the behavior of the system under nonstationary policies is studied. We focus on systems with a single class of customers and we show that for arrival rates in \( (C^r, C^p) \), the total number of customers in the system grows to infinity a.s. for any possible scheduling policy.

Since there is a stationary policy that stabilizes the system within \( C \) above result implies that we do not gain anything in stability by considering nonstationary policies.

Consider a system with one class of incoming customers and assume that the service time of a customer is equal to one slot that is \( m(i) = 1 \) a.s. for \( i = 1, \ldots, N, i = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Let us denote by \( G \) the class of all policies \( \pi = (g_i)_{i=1}^\infty \), where \( g_i \) is some rule for selecting \( E(t) \) based on the whole history of queue lengths up to time \( t \). Since we have just one class of customers, we will denote the unique arrival rate vector and queue length vector of the class by \( \alpha \) and \( X(t) \), respectively. In the following, the multiclass activation vector \( E(t) \) at slot \( t \) coincides with the activation vector for the unique customer class and a commodity flow coincides with the corresponding total flow vector and both vectors are denoted by \( f \). The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1: For every policy \( \pi \in \bar{G} \) and arrival rate vector \( \alpha \in C^p \), the total number of customers in the system \( \sum_{i=1}^\infty X_i(t) \) grows to infinity

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^\infty X_i(t) = \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (4.1)
\]

In the proof of the theorem we use some results from deterministic network flow theory on a flow network that corresponds to the constrained queueing system. We briefly state that next. For more details the reader is referred to [17].

For each arrival rate vector \( \alpha \) and flow vector \( f \), we consider a network \( N_{\alpha f} \) that consists of a graph \( Y = (V, E) \), specifying the topology of the network and a capacity assignment to the edges \( C_{\alpha f} : E \to R^+ \). Graph \( Y \) is very similar to the topology graph of the queueing network. The set of nodes \( V \) contains one node \( i \) for each queue \( i \) of the network, an originator node \( o \) and a terminal node \( d \). The set of edges \( E \) contains one edge \((i, j)\) for each server that serves queue \( i \) and directs traffic to queue \( j \). Each edge \((i, j)\) for each server that serves queue \( i \) and directs traffic out of the system and one edge \((o, j)\) for each queue \( j \). The topology graph \( Y \) is the same for all vectors \( \alpha \) and \( f \). The capacities of the edges depend on the vectors \( \alpha \) and \( f \) as follows. Each edge that corresponds to server \( k \) has capacity \( f_k \); each edge \((o, j)\) has capacity \( d \). The vector \( q = (q_i; i \in E) \) which is such that

\[
\sum_{i \text{ terminates at } l} q_i - \sum_{i \text{ originates at } l} q_i, \quad \text{if } l \in (V - (o, d)) \quad (4.2)
\]

is a feasible flow vector for the network \( N_{\alpha f} \). Let \( Q_{\alpha f} \) be the set of feasible flows. The flow transfer \( q \) of a flow vector \( q \) is defined by \( q = \sum_{i \in E} g(i)q_i \). We need to consider the maximum flow transfer over all feasible flows in \( Q_{\alpha f} \).

That is, denoted by

\[
q_{\alpha f} = \max_{q \in Q_{\alpha f}} q \quad (4.3)
\]

and is called maxflow in the following. An alternative characterization of the maxflow, which we need in the following is given by the maxflow-mincut theorem.

We need the notion of a cut to state that theorem. A cut \((W, W')\) of the network \( N_{\alpha f} \) is a partition of \( V \) such that \( o \in W \) and \( d \in W' \). The capacity \( C_{\alpha f}(W, W') \) of the cut \((W, W')\) is defined as the sum of the capacities of the edges which are directed from \( W \) to \( W' \). We denote both the capacity of an edge and the capacity of a cut by \( C_{\alpha f}(\cdot) \). A mincut of the network \( N_{\alpha f} \) is a cut of minimum capacity. In the following \((W, W')_{\alpha f} \) denotes a mincut of \( N_{\alpha f} \) and \( W_{\alpha f}, W_{\alpha f}' \) refer to the sets \( W, W' \) respectively, of \((W, W')_{\alpha f} \).

Maxflow-Mincut Theorem ([17]):

\[
q_{\alpha f} = \min_{(W, W')} c((W, W'))_{\alpha f}.
\]

The next lemma precedes the proof of Theorem 4.1.
Lemma 4.1: If \( a \in (C)^r \), then there exists \( f \in \text{co}(S) \) such that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^L a_i - \sum_{i \in \text{co}(S)} C_a(f(W, W'), a_i) = 0.
\]

Proof: Since the set of edges \( \{(a_i, t) : t = 1, \ldots, L \} \) is a cut with capacity \( \sum a_i \), for every \( f \in \text{co}(S) \) we have the following:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^L a_i \geq \max_{f \in \text{co}(S)} C_a(f(W, W'), a_i). \tag{4.4}
\]

It is enough for the proof of the lemma to show that the equality in (4.4) does not hold and that the maximum is actually achieved. The capacity of \( f \) is a continuous function of \( f \). The capacity of a mincut \( C_a(f(W, W'), a_i) \) is continuous in \( f \) as it is a maximum of finitely many continuous functions. Since \( C_a(f(W, W'), a_i) \) is continuous in \( f \), its maximum value when \( f \in \text{co}(S) \) is achieved for some \( f \in \text{co}(S) \). It is enough to show that \( \sum a_i \geq C_a(f(W, W'), a_i) \) for some \( f \in \text{co}(S) \). Then, from the maxflow-mincut theorem, there exists \( q^* \in Q_a \) such that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^L q^*_i = C_a(f(W, W'), a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^L a_i. \tag{4.5}
\]

Since \( q^* \in Q_a \), we have \( 0 \leq q^*_i \leq a_i \) and in view of (4.5), we have

\[
q^*_i = a_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, L. \tag{4.5a}
\]

From (4.5a) and the flow conservation equations (1.2) which should be satisfied by \( q^* \), we conclude that the elements of \( q^* \) that correspond to the servers (recall that some of the links of \( Y \) correspond to the servers), constitute a vector that belongs to \( f^* \). That vector belongs to \( \text{co}(S) \) as well, as it is implied by the capacity constraints and the fact that \( f^* \in \text{co}(S) \). This is a contradiction since \( a \notin (C)^r \).

Corollary 4.1: There exists an \( \epsilon \) such that for every \( f \in \text{co}(S) \), we have

\[
\sum_{i \in \cup_d} a_i - \epsilon \geq \sum_{i \in \cup_d} f_i. \tag{4.6}
\]

Proof: From Lemma 4.1 we have the following:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^L a_i - C_a(f(W, W'), a_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^L a_i - \max_{f \in \text{co}(S)} C_a(f(W, W'), a_i) = \epsilon > 0. \tag{4.6}
\]

In the left-hand side of (4.6) the capacities of the forward edges of \( f(W, W') \), that minimize from a pseudo such that the corresponding \( a_i \)'s and we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^L a_i - C_a(f(W, W'), a_i) = \sum_{i \in \cup_d} a_i - \sum_{i \in \cup_d} f_i + \sum_{i \in \cup_d} f_i \geq 0\tag{f_i} \geq \epsilon > 0.
\]

which completes the proof of the corollary.

Now we proceed to the proof of Theorem (4.1).

Proof of Theorem 4.1: We show first the following:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^L X_i(t) \geq \min_{Q \in \{1, \ldots, L \}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^L \left( A_i(t) - a_i \right) + \epsilon \right\}. \tag{4.7}
\]

For each \( Q \subseteq \{1, \ldots, L\} \), from (2.1) we have

\[
\sum_{i \in Q} X_i(t) = \sum_{i \in Q} X_i(t-1) + \sum_{i \in Q} (RE(i)_t) + \sum_{i \in Q} A_i(t). \tag{4.8}
\]

Each edge which has both end nodes in \( Q \) contributes a \( 1 \) and a \( -1 \) in \( \sum_{i \in Q} (RE(i)_t) \), each edge directed to a node in \( Q \) from a node outside of \( Q \) contributes a \( 1 \) and each edge directed from a node of \( Q \) to a node outside of \( Q \) contributes a \( -1 \); hence, we have

\[
\sum_{i \in Q} (RE(i)_t) \geq \sum_{i \in Q} (E(t)_i), \tag{4.9}
\]

where \( E(t)_i \) denotes the component of \( E(t) \) that corresponds to the link \( (i, j) \). From (4.8) and (4.9) after iterative substitutions we get the following:

\[
\sum_{i \in Q} X_i(t) \geq \sum_{i=1}^L \left( \sum_{i \in Q} A_i(t) - \sum_{i \in Q, j \notin E} E(t)_i \right). \tag{4.10}
\]

Consider the vector \( \lambda(t) = (1/\sum_{i} E(t)) \cdot E(t) \) that belongs to \( \text{co}(S) \) and the flow network \( N_{a(t)} \). From Corollary 4.1 we get the following:

\[
\sum_{i \in \cup_d} a_i - \epsilon \geq \sum_{i \in \cup_d} f_i. \tag{4.11}
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is as defined there. From relations (4.10) and (4.11) we get the following:

\[
\sum_{i \in \cup_d} X_i(t) \geq \sum_{i \in \cup_d} \left( \sum_{i \in \cup_d} (A_i(t) - a_i) + \epsilon \right)
\]

that shows (4.7). For any set \( Q \subseteq \{1, \ldots, L\} \) the maximum value of \( X(t) \) is achieved. \( \Box \)
with expected value $\epsilon > 0$ hence, we have the following:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (A_i(t) - a_i) + \epsilon \right) = \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

$$\forall Q \in \{1, \ldots, L\}. \quad (4.12)$$

From (4.7) and (4.11) we get (4.1).

Remark: A similar result can be obtained in the same manner for the case with multiple classes of traffic. The graph $Y$ of the corresponding flow network should contain one node for every network node and traffic class of the constrained queueing system.

V. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we present some practical systems for which the constrained queueing system is an appropriate model. Before we proceed to specific examples of constrained queueing systems we discuss one class of activation constraints which are encountered in several practical systems: those are the constraints of the conflicting pair type. In those kinds of constraints, certain pairs of servers, the conflicting pairs, are specified: no two servers that constitute a conflicting pair can be activated simultaneously. An activation set is any set of servers that does not include any conflicting pair of servers. In this case, the constraint set has a nice representation. Consider an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ where $V$ is the set of servers and $E$ contains a link $(i, j)$ if servers $i$ and $j$ are a conflicting pair. The constraint set contains all independent sets of nodes, that is, all sets such that no two nodes of the set are connected by a link. If the constraints are of the conflicting pair type, then the solution of the optimization Problem 3.4 is equivalent to the computation of the maximum weighted independent set of the graph that represents the constraints.

A. Multichannel Radio Networks

A radio network consists of $N$ nodes the radio connectivities of which are specified by the topology graph $G = (V, E)$. Each node of $V$ corresponds to a radio node and a directed link $(i, v)$ from node $v$ to node $w$ denotes that node $v$ is within the transmission range of node $w$. A node $v$ may communicate directly with node $w$ if node $v$ is within the transmission range of node $w$; otherwise the message from node $v$ has to be forwarded to node $w$ through other nodes. A link of the topology graph corresponds to a radio link. A packet entering the system at some node $i$ may have as eventual destination any node of a set of nodes $S_i$ in the sense that whichever node of $S_i$ the packet reaches it leaves the system. This assumption corresponds to the case where the actual destination of the packet is some node outside of the radio network which is connected through wired link connections with all nodes of $S_i$. Therefore, after a packet reaches a node of $S_i$, it does not need the resources of the radio network any more. We consider a multideestination system with $J$ sets of eventual destinations $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{J}$. Notice that two destination sets $S_{j}$ and $S_{m}$ may overlap. We distinguish the packets in different classes according to their eventual destinations. The packet length is constant and the system is slotted with slot length equal to the packet length. The transmissions are synchronized to start in the beginning of a slot. At each slot, $t$ $A_{i}(t)$ packets of class $j$ arrive at node $i$ from outside. There are constraints in the simultaneous transmissions of neighboring links. Those constraints depend on several different factors; some of them are the number of transceivers per node, the signaling forms used, the available frequency bands etc. The constraints vary in different networks. Two typical conflict constraints are the following:

1) If there is a single transceiver per node then at each time instant node $i$ may either transmit to exactly one other node $j$ or receive from exactly one other node $j$ without conflicts.

2) If there is a single frequency band then the transmission of node $i$ to node $j$ is received without conflicts only if all the other nodes that have in their range node $j$ are silent.

In a network with a single frequency band and one transceiver per node both constraints should be satisfied at each time in order to have conflict free transmissions. We refer to those networks as networks with no secondary interference tolerance. If spread spectrum signaling is used, then a node which is within the transmission range of several transmitting nodes may look in the transmission of one of them which receives without interference from the others. In this case, the second constraint is not necessary for conflict free transmissions and we say that secondary interference is tolerated. Any set of links can transmit simultaneously without conflicts if the conflict constraints are satisfied; any such set is called a transmission set. When secondary interference is tolerated, transmission set is any set of links such that no two links of the set share a common node; any such set is a matching of graph $G$.

The radio network is modeled by a constrained queueing system with $|V|$ queues and $|E|$ servers. Each queue corresponds to a network node and each server to a radio link. There are $J$ customer classes: each class contains packets with a specific destination. The service process $M(t)$, of a link $i$ has the following interpretation. If link $i$ transmits at slot $t$ the packet is correctly received if $M(t) = 1$, otherwise, it is lost and has to be retransmitted. Note that since we select the transmitting links at each slot such that conflicts are avoided, the possible packet losses which are modeled by the service process are due to channel inefficiencies. A set of servers constitutes an activation set if the corresponding set of links of the radio network is a transmission set. The topology graph $G = (V', E')$ of the constrained queueing system is very similar to $G$. The set of nodes $V'$ is the union of $V_{q}$ and $V_{q}'$ where $V_{q}'$ is identical to $V$ and $V_{q}'$ contains one node for each packet class. The set of links is $E' = E_{q} \cup E_{q}'$, where $E_{q}'$ is identical to $E$ and $E_{q}'$ contains a link $(i, v)$ from node $v \in V_{q}$ to node $w \in V_{q}'$ if node $v$ of the radio
network belongs to the destination set \( S \) of the class of packets that correspond to node \( w \in V' \). When secondary interference is tolerated the constrained set \( S \) contains all matchings of \( G \) where the weights are updated at each slot.

B. Databases with Concurrency Control

In databases where concurrent processing of several transactions is possible a control mechanism is needed to prevent conflicting transactions (transactions which may try to alter the same items of the database) from being executed simultaneously. The constrained queuing model that is considered provides a model for concurrent processing in databases in which the constraints in the simultaneous order activation captures the constraint in the simultaneous processing of conflicting transactions; furthermore the maximum throughput policy \( \pi_n \) that we have specified earlier provides a concurrency control mechanism that achieves maximum throughput. The following model for databases with concurrency control has been considered in [11], [14], and [15].

The database consists of \( N \) items. The processing of a transaction requires a set of the items of the database; some of these items need to be exclusively allocated to the transaction while the rest may be used by several transactions simultaneously as long as no transaction demands them exclusively. A transaction \( j \) specifies by two disjoint sets of items \( W_j \) and \( R_j \), where \( W_j \) is the set of items that should be exclusively allocated (locked) during the processing of \( j \) and \( R_j \) the set of items that need not be exclusively locked by \( j \). Two transactions \( j \) and \( l \) may be processed simultaneously if no transaction needs to lock exclusively the items which are needed by the other transaction; that is, the two transactions may be processed simultaneously if

\[
(W_j \cap W_l) \cup (W_j \cap R_l) \cup (W_l \cap R_j) = \emptyset. \tag{5.1}
\]

There are \( J \) different transaction classes. Each class is characterized by the set of items that the transactions need to lock exclusively and nonexclusively. Transactions of each class are generated according to Poisson point processes. A transaction may be queued for processing if it cannot be processed at the time at which it is generated. Assume that the processing time of a transaction is constant and the same for all classes. The processing of all transactions is synchronized to start at the same time. At the time instant that a new processing phase is initiated, a decision is taken on the set of nonconflicting transactions that should be selected; this decision can be based on the number of transactions of each class which are in the system at that time. The above database model corresponds to a constrained queuing system with \( J \) parallel queues. \( J \) servers one for each queue and \( J \) customer (transaction) classes. Each queue \( i \) receives customers of class \( i \) only and a served customer is always routed out of the system. Activation set is any set of servers that serve nonconflicting transaction classes. Note that the constraints in this case are of the conflicting pair type. The policy \( \pi_n \) selects for processing at each slot the eligible set of transaction classes for which the sum of queue lengths is maximum. The stability region of the system is equal to the convex hull of the constraint set \( S \).

C. Parallel Processing

The generalized multisever queue has been proposed in [3] as a model for certain parallel processing systems. The multiserver queue has \( N \) servers; the customers arrive with rate \( \lambda \) each customer requests to engage a random number \( k \) of servers (processors) for its service; the arrival rate of customers that request \( k \) servers is \( \lambda p_k \) where \( \sum_k p_k = 1 \). The total number of servers requested by the customers which are served simultaneously should be less than or equal to \( N \) at each time instant \( t \).

The multiserver queue as specified above corresponds to the following constrained queuing system. There are \( N \) classes of arriving customers and \( N \) queues. Customers of class \( k \) arrive exclusively in queue \( k \) with rate \( \lambda p_k \) and they correspond to the customers of the multiserver queue that need to engage \( k \) servers. There are \( N \) servers at each queue. After service completion a customer leaves the system. The element of an activation vector \( i \) that correspond to server \( m \) of queue \( l \) is denoted by \( i_{lm} \). The necessary and sufficient condition for a binary vector with \( N^2 \) elements to be an activation vector is

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{N} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{N} i_{lm} \right) \leq N.
\]

In [3], under the assumptions of stationarity and ergodicity of the arrival processes and the service times a scheduling policy that stabilizes the queue is obtained.

Under the assumption of Poisson arrivals and constant service times, the policy \( \pi_n \) that we propose here stabilizes the system as well. The assumption about the statistics of the arrival and service processes are more restrictive in the latter case. The corresponding policy \( \pi_n \) though stabilizes the system without knowledge of the parameters \( (p_1, \ldots, p_N) \). The knowledge of these parameters is necessary for the stabilization of the system by the policy proposed in [3].

VI. Discussion

In this paper, a constrained queuing system has been considered that models the resource allocation problem in multipath radio networks. A maximum throughput policy \( \pi_n \) has been obtained and the stability region of the system has been characterized. Policy \( \pi_n \) decides which servers are activated at each slot. The decisions are taken in a centralized manner, therefore, the queue length information needs to be concentrated; furthermore, the computation of the maximum weighted activation set can be complex depending on the structure of the constraint set. The centralized nature of the policy makes its imple-
mentation difficult in cases where the state information is distributed in different nodes like in the case of radio networks. It is of interest to find simpler scheduling policies with the same stabilizability properties that are amenable to distributed implementation.

We have studied the constrained queueing system under the assumption of slotted operation where the servers are synchronized to start service simultaneously in the beginning of the slot. This assumption appears to be restrictive in certain cases. For example, in the database model, in order for this assumption to hold, all the transactions should have the same length such that they finish their processing simultaneously. Obtaining stabilizing policies in the case where customers have different service times is a problem for further investigation.

APPENDIX

The property of the convex hull of the constraint set, stated in the next lemma is used in the proofs of this section.

Lemma A.1: If a vector \( \mathbf{c} \) belongs to \( \text{co}(S) \), then any vector \( \mathbf{a} \) such that \( 0 \leq \mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{c} \) belongs to \( \text{co}(S) \) as well.

Proof: The proof of the lemma follows easily from assumption C.1 and is omitted for brevity.

Proof of Lemma 3.1: We denote by \( \mathbb{B} \) the set which we want to show that is equal \( \mathbb{C} \). We first show that all points of \( \mathbb{B} \) are points of closure of \( \mathbb{C} \), therefore, \( \mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{C} \). Suppose that for the vector \( \mathbf{a} \) there exist \( \mathbf{f} \in F_0, \mathbf{e} \in \text{co}(S) \) such that \( M^{-1} \mathbf{f} \leq \mathbf{e} \). Consider the vectors \( \mathbf{c}_n, n = 1, \cdots, \) such that \( \mathbf{a}_n = (1 - (1/n)) \mathbf{a}_n, j = 1, \cdots, J, j = 1, \cdots, N \) and the multicommodity flows \( \mathbf{f}_n = (1 - (1/n)) \mathbf{f}_n, j = 1, \cdots, J, j = 1, \cdots, N \). We can easily verify that \( \mathbf{f}_n \in F_0 \), implies that \( \mathbf{f} \in F_0 \). Furthermore, since \( M^{-1} \mathbf{f} \leq \mathbf{e} \) we get \( (M^{-1} \mathbf{f}) - (1/n) \leq \mathbf{c}_n \) if \( (M^{-1} \mathbf{f}) > 0 \). Hence, we have \( \mathbf{a}_n \in \mathbb{C} \) for every \( n = 1, \cdots \). The limit of the sequence \( \mathbf{a}_n \) is \( \mathbf{a} \), therefore we have \( \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C} \).

Now we show that all points of closure of \( \mathbb{C} \) belong to \( \mathbb{B} \) therefore \( \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{B} \). Suppose that \( \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C} \), then there exists a sequence \( \mathbf{a}_n, n = 1, \cdots, \) such that \( \mathbf{a}_n \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{a}_n = \mathbf{a} \). Since \( \mathbf{a}_n \in \mathbb{C} \), there exist \( \mathbf{f}_n \in F_0, \mathbf{e}_n \in \text{co}(S) \) such that \( (M^{-1} \mathbf{f}_n) < \mathbf{e}_n \) if \( (M^{-1} \mathbf{f}_n) > 0 \). We show that there exist \( \mathbf{f} \in F_0, \mathbf{e} \in \text{co}(S) \) such that \( M^{-1} \mathbf{f} \leq \mathbf{e} \) which imply that \( \mathbf{a} \) belongs to \( \mathbb{B} \). We can assume that for each class \( j \), the server utilization vector \( \mathbf{f}_j \) is acyclic in the sense that there is no sequence of queues \( q_1, \cdots, q_n \), such that there exists a server \( j \) that directly traffic of class \( j \) from \( q_j \) to \( q_{j+1} \), \( i = 1, \cdots, n - 1, q_n \) to \( q_1 \) and \( (\mathbf{f}_j) > 0 \), \( i = 1, \cdots, n \). If some \( \mathbf{f}_j \) is not acyclic, we can easily make it without violating the rest of the conditions that \( \mathbf{f} \) satisfies. Note, furthermore, that if \( \mathbf{f}_j \) is acyclic, then

\[ \| \mathbf{f} \| \leq \| \mathbf{a} \| \]

where \( \| \cdot \| \) is the square norm of \( R^n \) and \( q \) depends only on the topological structure of the system that is numbers of servers, queues, customer classes, and the connectivity. Since \( \mathbf{a}_n \to \mathbf{a} \) the sequence of flows \( \mathbf{f}_j \) is bounded because of (A.4) therefore there exists a subsequence \( f_{j_k} \) that converges to some vector \( \mathbf{f}_j \). Notice that \( \mathbf{f} \) is a flow vector for class \( j \) since

\[ \| \mathbf{a} + R^{-1} \mathbf{f} \| = \| \mathbf{a} + R^{-1} \mathbf{f} - (\mathbf{a}_n + R^{-1} \mathbf{f}_n) \| \leq \| \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}_n \| + \| R^{-1} (\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_n) \| \to 0 \]

therefore we have \( \mathbf{a} = -R^{-1} \mathbf{f} \).

Since the above holds for every \( \mathbf{f} \) we conclude that there exist a subsequence of multicommodity flows \( \mathbf{f}_n \), such that

\[ \mathbf{f}_n \in F_0, \mathbf{f}_n \to \mathbf{f}, M^{-1} \mathbf{f}_n \leq \mathbf{c}_n \]  

(A.5)

Since \( \mathbf{c}_n \in \text{co}(S) \), \( n = 1, \cdots, \) and \( \text{co}(S) \) is closed and bounded there exists a subsequence \( \mathbf{c}_{n_k}, k = 1, \cdots \), that converges to a vector \( \mathbf{c} \in \text{co}(S) \). From (A.5) we have \( M^{-1} \mathbf{f}_{n_k} \leq \mathbf{c}_{n_k} \) and by taking the limits on both sides of the inequality we get \( M^{-1} \mathbf{f} \leq \mathbf{c} \).

Proof of Lemma 3.2: For each vector \( \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C} \) we show that the queue length process satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Consider the function \( V : \mathbb{R} \to R^+ \) defined as \( V(X(t)) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_j(i, t) \). We show that if \( \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists a positive integer \( b \) which may be a function of \( \mathbf{a} \) and of the second-order moments of the arrival process, such that

\[ E[V(X(t + 1)) - V(X(t))X(t)] < -\epsilon \]  

if \( V(X(t)) \geq b \).  

(A.6)

Furthermore we show that

\[ E[V(X(t + 1)) - V(X(t))X(t)] < \epsilon \]  

V(X(t)) \in \mathbb{R}.  

(A.7)

Note that the set \( S = \{ x : V(x) < b \} \) is finite; therefore, relations (A.6) and (A.7) are the sufficient conditions for stability stated in Theorem 3.1. We now proceed to show (A.6) and (A.7). After some calculations and using (2.1) we get the following:

\[ E[V(X(t + 1)) - V(X(t))X(t)] \]

\[ = \sum_{j=1}^{J} E[\{X(i_j(t + 1)) - X((i_j(t)) - X(i_j(t)) \} \]  

\[ = \sum_{j=1}^{J} E[\{R^{-1}M(t + 1)E(i_j(t + 1)) + A(i_j(t + 1))X(t) \} \]

\[ = \sum_{j=1}^{J} E[\{R^{-1}M(t + 1)E(i_j(t + 1)) + A(i_j(t + 1)) \} \]  

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{J} E[2(R^{-1}M(t + 1)E(i_j(t + 1)) \]  

\[ + A(i_j(t + 1)) \}X(t) \].  

(A.8)
where $\mathbf{a}^T$ is the transpose of vector $\mathbf{a}$. The first term in the sum in the right-hand side of (A.8) can be bounded for all states $\mathbf{X}(t)$ by a constant, let us say $b_1$, as we show in the following. By simple calculations we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{J} E[(R'M(t+1)\mathbf{E}'(t+1) + A'(t+1))^T \mathbf{X}(t)]
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{l=1}^{L} E[(A_l(t+1))^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{J} E[A_l(t+1)]
$$

$$
\cdot E[(R'M(t+1)\mathbf{E}'(t+1))\mathbf{X}(t)]
$$

$$
+ \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{l=1}^{L} E[(R'M(t+1)\mathbf{E}'(t+1))\mathbf{X}(t)]
$$

$$
(A.9)
$$

where the notation $(\mathbf{a}_i)$ denotes the $i$th element of vector $\mathbf{a}$ inside the parenthesis. The term $E[(R'M(t+1)\mathbf{E}'(t+1))]$ is upper bounded by the number of servers that direct traffic to queue $l$ thus by $N$ as well. Similarly, $((R'M(t+1)\mathbf{E}'(t+1)))^2$ is upperbounded by $N^2$. Thus, from (A.9), we have the following:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{J} E[(R'M(t+1)\mathbf{E}'(t+1) + A'(t+1))^T \mathbf{X}(t)]
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{l=1}^{L} E[(A_l(t+1))^2]
$$

$$
+ 2N \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{l=1}^{L} E[A_l(t+1)] + LN^2 - b_1.
$$

$$
(A.10)
$$

For the second term of the sum in the right-hand side of (A.8) we have the following:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{J} E[2(R'M(t+1)\mathbf{E}'(t+1) + A'(t+1))^T \mathbf{X}(t)]
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{J} 2(\mathbf{X}'(t))^T E[R'M(t+1)\mathbf{E}'(t+1)]\mathbf{X}(t)]
$$

$$
+ \sum_{j=1}^{J} 2(\mathbf{X}'(t))^T E[A'(t+1)]\mathbf{X}(t)]
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{J} 2(\mathbf{X}'(t))^T R'M g_0(\mathbf{X}(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{J} 2(\mathbf{X}'(t))^T a_j
$$

$$
(A.11)
$$

where $g_0$ is the activation rule that corresponds to $\pi_0$, and $M = \mathbf{E[M(t)]}$. From (A.8), (A.10), and (A.11) the relation (A.7) follows. It remains to show (A.6). Notice that the $i$th element of the vector $\mathbf{X}(t)^T R/M$ is equal to $-D_i(t+1)$ where $D_i(t+1)$ is as it has been defined in stage 1 of policy $\pi_0$. From the definition of $\pi_0$ we have for all $j = 1, \ldots, J$

$$
\mathbf{X}'(t)^T R/M g_0(\mathbf{X}(t)) = -D(t+1)^T g_0(\mathbf{X}(t))
$$

therefore for the first term in the right-hand side of (A.11) we have the following:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{J} 2(\mathbf{X}'(t))^T R'M g_0(\mathbf{X}(t)) = -2(D(t+1))^T \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_0(\mathbf{X}(t))
$$

$$
(A.12)
$$

Since $\mathbf{a} \in C$, there exists a multiclass flow vector $\mathbf{f}$ corresponding to total flow vector $\mathbf{f}$, and a vector $q \in \text{col}(S)$ such that $\mathbf{f} = f_j$, and $m_j f_j < q_i$. If $q_i > 0$, $f_j = 0$ if $q_i = 0$. Hence, we have

$$
a_i = -R f_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, J
$$

$$
(A.13)
$$

and there exist $\delta > 0$ such that for all $i = 1, \ldots, N$

$$
\delta m^{-1}_j f_j < q_i, \quad \text{if} \quad q_i > 0.
$$

$$
(A.14)
$$

Relation (A.14) together with Lemma A.1 imply that $\delta M^{-1} \mathbf{f} \in \text{col}(S)$. Thus, we have

$$
\delta M^{-1} \mathbf{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{S} \gamma_i \mathbf{c}_i
$$

$$
(A.15)
$$

where $\mathbf{c}_i \in S, \gamma_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, |S|$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{S} \gamma_i \leq 1$. Alternatively, we have

$$
M^{-1} \mathbf{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{S} \lambda_i \mathbf{c}_i
$$

$$
(A.16)
$$

where $\lambda_i = (\gamma_i/\delta)$, that is $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^{S} \lambda_i < 1$. The second term of the sum in the right-hand side of (A.11), after substitutions from (A.13) and (A.15), becomes

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{J} 2(\mathbf{X}'(t))^T a_j = -\sum_{j=1}^{J} 2(\mathbf{X}'(t))^T R f_j
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{J} 2(D(t+1))^T M^{-1} f_j
$$

$$
(A.16)
$$

where $D_i(t) = (D_i(t), i = 1, \ldots, N)$. By replacing $M^{-1} \mathbf{f}$ in (A.16) from (A.15) we get

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{J} 2(\mathbf{X}'(t))^T a_j \leq 2(D(t+1))^T \sum_{i=1}^{S} \lambda_i \mathbf{c}_i.
$$

$$
(A.16)
$$
From (A.11), (A.12), and (A.16) we get the following:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{J} E\left[2 \left\{ R M(t+1)^{T} X(t+1) - A(t+1)^{T} X(t) \right\} \right]$$

$$\leq -2 \left\{ D(t+1)^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{J} g_i(X(t)) \right\}$$

$$+ 2 D(t+1)^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{J} \lambda \epsilon_i$$

From the definition of the $\pi_n$ have we

$$\max_{c \in S} \left\{ D(t+1)^{T} c \right\} \geq (D(t+1)^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{J} g_i(X(t))$$

$$\leq \max_{i=1,...,N} \left\{ (D(t+1)^{T} c \right\} - N^2$$

$$\geq (D(t+1)^{T} c - N^2 \forall c \in S.$$ (A.18)

The first relation in (A.18) is an inequality instead of equality since a certain number of links which should be activated according to the vector $\epsilon$ selected in stage 2 of $\pi_n$ are deferred from activation in stage 3. The second relation holds since the deferment from activation of certain links mentioned earlier, decreases the quantity $\max_{c \in S} \left\{ D(t+1)^{T} c \right\}$ at most by $N^2$. Relations (A.17) and (A.18) imply that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{J} E\left[2 \left\{ R M(t+1)^{T} X(t+1) + A(t+1)^{T} X(t) \right\} \right]$$

$$\leq -2 \max_{e \in S} \left\{ (D(t+1)^{T} e \right\}$$

$$+ N^2 - 2 D(t+1)^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{J} \lambda \epsilon_i$$

$$\leq \leq -2 \left\{ |X(t+1)| \sum_{i=1}^{J} \epsilon_i \right\} + N^2.$$ (A.19)

The term $-2 |X(t+1)| \sum_{i=1}^{J} \epsilon_i$ can be as small as we like if $|X(t)|$ is sufficiently large. Note first that, as $|X(t)| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{J} \epsilon_i$, the components of $X(t)$ grow as well, that is if we have $|X(t)| \geq b$ then we get

$$\max_{|x| \geq b} |x| \geq \left\{ L \sqrt{N} \right\}.$$ (A.20)

Let

$$Y(t+1) = \max_{|x| \geq b} |X(t)|.$$ (A.21)

Consider a sequence of classes $I_m, I_{m+1}, ..., I_n, n \leq L$ such that there is a server that accepts traffic of class $j$, from queue $I_m$ to queue $I_{m+1}, m < n$ and from queue $I_n$ out of the system. There is a sequence exists by assumption C.2. Then, we have

$$X_{\ell_{i,j,l}}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ X_{\ell_{i,j,l}}(t) - X_{\ell_{i,j,l}}(t) \right\} + X_{\ell_{i,j,l}}(t).$$ (A.22)

From (A.20), (A.21), and (A.22), we get

$$\max_{m=0, ..., n-1} \left\{ |X_{\ell_{i,j,l}}(t) - X_{\ell_{i,j,l}}(t)|, \right\} \right\}$$

$$\geq \frac{X_{\ell_{i,j,l}}(t)}{n} \geq \frac{X_{\ell_{i,j,l}}(t)}{L} \geq \frac{1}{L} \sqrt{b}.$$ (A.23)

From the definition of $\pi_n$ we have

$$\max_{c \in S} \left\{ D(t+1)^{T} c \right\}$$

$$\geq \min_{i=1,...,N} m_i$$

$$\cdot \max_{m=0, ..., n-1} \left\{ |X_{\ell_{i,j,l}}(t) - X_{\ell_{i,j,l}}(t)|, \right\} \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{b}{L} \sqrt{N} \min_{i=1,...,N} m_i.$$ (A.24)

From (A.19) and (A.24), we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} E\left[2 \left\{ R M(t+1)^{T} X(t+1) + A(t+1)^{T} X(t) \right\} \right]$$

$$\leq -2 \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{[L]} \epsilon_i \right\} L \sqrt{b} \min_{i=1,...,N} m_i + N^2.$$ (A.25)

From (A.8), (A.10), and (A.25), we have

$$E\left[|X(t+1)| - |X(t)| \right| X(t) \right\}$$

$$\leq -2 \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{[L]} \epsilon_i \right\} L \sqrt{b} \min_{i=1,...,N} m_i + N^2 + b_i$$

If $V(X(t)) \geq b$. (A.26)

If we take

$$b = NL \left\{ L \left( e + b_i \right) + N^2 \right\}$$

then (A.6) follows.

Proof of Lemma 3.3: Suppose that $a \in (C^\gamma)$ and the system is stable under some policy $\tilde{a}$. There is a closed set of communicating states $R_j$ such that all states in $R_j$ are positive recurrent. For the rest of the proof we consider the Markov chain restricted in $R_j$. The restricted Markov chain is positive recurrent and therefore ergodic. We can easily see that since $X(t)$ is ergodic Markov chain, $M(t)$ is an i.i.d. process and $M(t)$ is independent of $(X(0), ..., X(t-1))$, the process $(X(t-1), M(t))$ is a Markov chain, which is ergodic as well. Consider the vector $E^*(t) = M(t)E^*(X(t-1)) \in (Q^\gamma)$ where $\tilde{g}$ is the activation rate of $\tilde{a}$. Its $i$th element is equal to 1 if during slot $i$ a customer of class $j$ which is served by server $i$ completes service and moves from queue $g_i(t)$ to $h(t)$. The vector $\sum_{i=1}^{L} E^*(t)$ indicates how many customers of class $j$ have crossed each server during
slots 1 to $t$. Since $(X(t-1), M(t))$ is ergodic, the normalized sum $\bar{u}(t) = \sum_{i=t}^{\infty} \frac{E(t)}{E(t)}$ converges a.s. as $t \to \infty$ to a vector $\bar{u}'$ which indicates the average number of class $j$ customers that cross each server $i$. In each queue $q$ and for each class $j$ the average number of incoming customers should be equal to the average number of outgoing customers since otherwise $X_q(t)$ goes a.s. to infinity and the chain cannot be positive recurrent. Hence, we have $a_{ij} = -R_i \bar{u}'$ and the vector $\bar{u} = (\bar{u}_1, \ldots, \bar{u}_N, \bar{u}_1, \ldots, \bar{u}_J)$ belongs to $P_\infty$. We show now that $\bar{u} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \bar{u}_j \bar{u}'$ is such that $\bar{u}' \in \text{col}(S)$ therefore we get $a \in \text{col}(S)$ which is a contradiction. Consider the vector $B(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{E(t)}{E(t)}$. We have
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{1}{X(t)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{J} E(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{E(t)}{E(t)} = \bar{u}
\]
and because of the ergodicity of $(X(t-1), M(t))$ we have
\[
\bar{u} = E\left[M(t) \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{E(X(t-1))}{E(t)}\right] \tag{A.27}
\]
where the expectation is taken with respect to the stationary probability distribution of $(X(t-1), M(t))$. Since for each slot $t$, $(X(t-1), M(t))$ are independent, we have
\[
E\left[M(t) \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{E(X(t-1))}{E(t)}\right] = E[M(t)]E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{E(X(t-1))}{E(t)}\right] \tag{A.28}
\]
Since for all $X(t-1)$ we have $\sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{E(X(t-1))}{E(t)} \in \text{col}(S)$, clearly $E[M(t)]E[\sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{E(X(t-1))}{E(t)}] \in \text{col}(S)$ as well and from (A.27) and (A.28) we get that $\bar{u}' \in \text{col}(S)$.
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