

ENEE 457

Static Analysis Class Exercise

Consider the following code snippet on which we would like to perform a taint analysis. Type qualifiers are represented by capital letters: A, B, C, D, E.

```
1  int printf(A char *fmt, ..);
2  B char *fgets(..);
3
4
5  int main () {
6      C char *mystring = fgets(.., network_fd);
7      D char *mystring2 = mystring;
8      E char *mystring3 = 'Hello World';
9      mystring2 = mystring3;
10     printf(mystring2);
11     return 0;
12 }
```

- i. Identify all the sources and sinks in the code snippet and determine the corresponding settings for the type qualifiers.

In 1 (sink) A = untainted

In 2 (source) B = tainted

- ii. List all of the constraints on the type qualifiers.

In 6 C >= tainted

In 8 E >= untainted

In 10 D <= untainted

In 7 D >= C

In 9 D >= E

- iii. Is there a vulnerability in the above code? Is there a solution for the undetermined type qualifiers that satisfies all the constraints? If there is no vulnerability and no solution, it means that our taint analysis has produced a false positive. How can the taint analysis be modified so that the false positive is removed?

No vulnerability.

No solution since constraints from In 6, In 7, In 10 imply:

tainted <= C <= D <= untainted

This implies that tainted <= untainted, which is false, since we assume tainted > untainted

When mystring2 is assigned in In 9, it should be given a new name (each variable should only be assigned once). In 9 becomes:

```
F char *mystring4 = mystring3
```

In 10 becomes: printf(mystring4)

Now all constraints can be satisfied.