

ENEE 457 Static Analysis Class Exercise

1. Assume we have an analyzer that takes as input any C program and has the following properties:
 - a) The analyzer always terminates
 - b) If the C program makes an array out-of-bounds memory access during its run on an input x , then the analyzer outputs 1.
 - c) If the C program does not make an array out-of-bounds memory access during its run on an input x , then the analyzer outputs 0.

Show that the analyzer can be used to solve the Halting Problem.

We need to show a reduction from the halting problem to the array out-of-bounds problem. Specifically, we get as input a C program P along with an input x and we want to know whether it terminates. We want to transform it into a program P' and input x' to feed into the array-out-of-bounds analyzer. If the analyzer tells us that P' makes an out-of-bounds access on input x' , we would like to conclude that the original program P halts on input x . If the analyzer tells us that P' does not make an out-of-bounds access on input x' , we would like to conclude that the original program P does not halt on input x .

At a high level, to perform this transformation, we look at the code of P . Every time there is an "exit" instruction (i.e. an instruction that causes the execution to terminate), we purposely insert an array-out-of-bounds access immediately before it. This transforms the program P into the program P' . The input x can stay the same.

The above solution is not complete, because it is possible that program P also makes array-out-of-bounds accesses in other places. Therefore, if our analyzer tells us that an array-out-of-bounds occurs, we do not know if this implies that program P halts or that program P makes an array-out-of-bounds access elsewhere. However, the above gives the high-level intuition of how to argue that static analyzers that always terminate and are always correct imply a solution to the halting problem.

ENEE 457

Static Analysis Class Exercise

2. Consider the following code snippet on which we would like to perform a taint analysis. Type qualifiers are represented by capital letters: A, B, C, D, E.

```
1  int printf(A char *fmt, ..);
2  B char *fgets(..);
3
4
5  int main () {
6      C char *mystring = fgets(.., network_fd);
7      D char *mystring2 = mystring;
8      E char *mystring3 = 'Hello World';
9      mystring2 = mystring3;
10     printf(mystring2);
11     return 0;
12 }
```

- i. Identify all the sources and sinks in the code snippet and determine the corresponding settings for the type qualifiers.

In 1 (sink) A = untainted

In 2 (source) B = tainted

- ii. List all of the constraints on the type qualifiers.

In 6 C >= tainted

In 8 E >= untainted

In 10 D <= untainted

In 7 D >= C

In 9 D >= E

- iii. Is there a vulnerability in the above code? Is there a solution for the undetermined type qualifiers that satisfies all the constraints? If there is no vulnerability and no solution, it means that our taint analysis has produced a false positive. How can the taint analysis be modified so that the false positive is removed?

No vulnerability.

No solution since constraints from In 6, In 7, In 10 imply:

tainted <= C <= D <= untainted

This implies that tainted <= untainted, which is false, since we assume tainted > untainted

When mystring2 is assigned in In 9, it should be given a new name (each variable should only be assigned once). In 9 becomes:

```
F char *mystring4 = mystring3
```

In 10 becomes: printf(mystring4)

Now all constraints can be satisfied.