

ENEE 459E/CMSC 498R: Introduction to Cryptology
PRG Class Exercise 2/15/18

Let G be a pseudorandom generator where $|G(s)| = |s| + 1$

1. Define $G'(s) = G(s||\bar{s})$, where \bar{s} is the bit-wise negation of s . Is G' necessarily a pseudorandom generator?

Short answer: No. $s||\bar{s}$ is not uniformly distributed and the guarantees of a PRG hold only when its input is uniformly distributed. To see why it is not uniformly distributed: Assume s has length n . The number of elements in the set of strings of the form $s||\bar{s}$ is only 2^n , whereas the number of $2n$ -bit strings is 2^{2n} . Therefore, we are sampling from a $1/2^n$ -fraction of the total number of strings.

2. Define $G'(s) = G(s)||G(\bar{s})$, where \bar{s} is the bit-wise negation of s . Is G' necessarily a pseudorandom generator?

Short answer: No. We can generate multiple pseudorandom strings using a PRG, but each time we run the PRG the seed must be chosen uniformly at random and independently from all other seeds. In this case, each of s, \bar{s} are individually uniform random, but they are not independent.

3. Define $G'(s) = G(s)_1||G(G(s)_2, \dots, G(s)_{|s|+1})$, where $G(s)_i$ denotes the i -th output bit of $G(s)$. Is G' necessarily a pseudorandom generator?

Short answer: Yes. We are basically running a PRG on the output of a PRG. We can argue as follows: The output of the first invocation of the PRG is pseudorandom since the seed s was uniform random. The second invocation does not get a uniform random input, but a pseudorandom input. But pseudorandom is as good as random when we are concerned with poly-time distinguishers only (as is the case here). So the output of the second invocation is also pseudorandom.