Introduction to Cryptology

Lecture 24

Announcements

- HW8 due today
- HW9 up on course webpage. Due Tuesday, 5/9.
- Final Review Sheet up on course webpage
- Reminder: Extra Credit due Tuesday, 5/9

Agenda

- Last time:
 - Hard problems
 - Elliptic Curve Groups
- This time:
 - Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange (K/L 10.3)
 - El Gamal Public Key Encryption (K/L 11.4)
 - RSA Public Key Encryption and Weaknesses (K/L 11.5)

Key Agreement

The key-exchange experiment $KE^{eav}_{A,\Pi}(n)$:

- 1. Two parties holding 1^n execute protocol Π . This results in a transcript *trans* containing all the messages sent by the parties, and a key k output by each of the parties.
- 2. A uniform bit $b \in \{0,1\}$ is chosen. If b = 0 set $\hat{k} \coloneqq k$, and if b = 1 then choose $\hat{k} \in \{0,1\}^n$ uniformly at random.
- 3. A is given *trans* and \hat{k} , and outputs a bit b'.
- 4. The output of the experiment is defined to be 1 if b' = b and 0 otherwise.

Definition: A key-exchange protocol Π is secure in the presence of an eavesdropper if for all ppt adversaries A there is a negligible function neg such that

$$\Pr\left[KE^{eav}_{A,\Pi}(n)=1\right] \leq \frac{1}{2} + neg(n).$$

Discussion of Definition

- Why is this the "right" definition?
- Why does the adversary get to see \hat{k} ?

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange



FIGURE 10.2: The Diffie-Hellman key-exchange protocol.

Security Analysis

Theorem: If the DDH problem is hard relative to G, then the Diffie-Hellman key-exchange protocol Π is secure in the presence of an eavesdropper.

Recall DDH problem

We say that the DDH problem is hard relative to *G* if for all ppt algorithms *A*, there exists a negligible function *neg* such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr[A(G,q,g,g^{x},g^{y},g^{z})=1] \\ &-\Pr[A(G,q,g,g^{x},g^{y},g^{xy})=1]| \\ &\leq neg(n). \end{aligned}$$

Security Reduction

--Show reduction from DH Key Exchange to the DDH problem.

Public Key Encryption

Definition: A public key encryption scheme is a triple of ppt algorithms (*Gen*, *Enc*, *Dec*) such that:

- 1. The key generation algorithm *Gen* takes as input the security parameter 1^n and outputs a pair of keys (pk, sk). We refer to the first of these as the public key and the second as the private key. We assume for convenience that pk and sk each has length at least n, and that n can be determined from pk, sk.
- 2. The encryption algorithm Enc takes as input a public key pk and a message m from some message space. It outputs a ciphertext c, and we write this as $c \leftarrow Enc_{pk}(m)$.
- 3. The deterministic decryption algorithm *Dec* takes as input a private key sk and a ciphertext c, and outputs a message m or a special symbol \perp denoting failure. We write this as $m \coloneqq Dec_{sk}(c)$.

Correctness: It is required that, except possibly with negligible probability over (pk, sk) output by $Gen(1^n)$, we have $Dec_{sk}(Enc_{pk}(m)) = m$ for any legal message m.

CPA-Security

The CPA experiment $PubK^{cpa}_{A,\Pi}(n)$:

- 1. $Gen(1^n)$ is run to obtain keys (pk, sk).
- 2. Adversary A is given pk, and outputs a pair of equal-length messages m_0, m_1 in the message space.
- 3. A uniform bit $b \in \{0,1\}$ is chosen, and then a challenge ciphertext $c \leftarrow Enc_{pk}(m_b)$ is computed and given to A.
- 4. A outputs a bit b'. The output of the experiment is 1 if b' = b, and 0 otherwise.

Definition: A public-key encryption scheme $\Pi = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$ is CPA-secure if for all ppt adversaries A there is a negligible function negsuch that

$$\Pr\left[PubK^{cpa}_{A,\Pi}(n)=1\right] \leq \frac{1}{2} + neg(n).$$

Discussion

- Discuss how in the public key setting security in the presence of an eavesdropper and CPA security are equivalent (since anyone can encrypt using the public key).
- Discuss how CPA-secure encryption cannot be deterministic!!

– Why not?

El Gamal Encryption

--Show how we can derive El Gamal PKE from Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

Important Property

Lemma: Let G be a finite group, and let $m \in G$ be arbirary. Then choosing uniform $k \in G$ and setting $k' := k \cdot m$ gives the same distribution for k' as choosing uniform $k' \in G$. Put differently, for any $\hat{g} \in G$ we have $\Pr[k \cdot m = \hat{g}] = 1/|G|$.

El Gamal Encryption Scheme

CONSTRUCTION 11.16

Let \mathcal{G} be as in the text. Define a public-key encryption scheme as follows:

- Gen: on input 1^n run $\mathcal{G}(1^n)$ to obtain (\mathbb{G}, q, g) . Then choose a uniform $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ and compute $h := g^x$. The public key is $\langle \mathbb{G}, q, g, h \rangle$ and the private key is $\langle \mathbb{G}, q, g, x \rangle$. The message space is \mathbb{G} .
- Enc: on input a public key pk = ⟨𝔅, q, g, h⟩ and a message m ∈ 𝔅, choose a uniform y ← ℤ_q and output the ciphertext

 $\langle g^y, h^y \cdot m \rangle.$

• Dec: on input a private key $sk = \langle \mathbb{G}, q, g, x \rangle$ and a ciphertext $\langle c_1, c_2 \rangle$, output

 $\hat{m} := c_2/c_1^x.$

The El Gamal encryption scheme.

El Gamal Example

--If you have time you can do an example.

--Let the group G be the group of quadratic residues over Z_p, where p is a strong prime (i.e. p = 2q+1 for prime q).

Security Analysis

Theorem: If the DDH problem is hard relative to G, then the El Gamal encryption scheme is CPA-secure.

--you can skip the proof since it's essentially the same as Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange.

RSA Encryption

CONSTRUCTION 11.25

Let GenRSA be as in the text. Define a public-key encryption scheme as follows:

- Gen: on input 1ⁿ run GenRSA(1ⁿ) to obtain N, e, and d. The public key is ⟨N, e⟩ and the private key is ⟨N, d⟩.
- Enc: on input a public key $pk = \langle N, e \rangle$ and a message $m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$, compute the ciphertext

 $c := [m^e \mod N].$

• Dec: on input a private key $sk = \langle N, d \rangle$ and a ciphertext $c \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$, compute the message

$$m := [c^d \mod N].$$

The plain RSA encryption scheme.

RSA Example

$$p = 3, q = 7, N = 21$$

$$\phi(N) = 12$$

$$e = 5$$

$$d = 5$$

$$c_{(21,5)}(11) = 4^5 \mod 21 = 16 \mod 22$$

 $Enc_{(21,5)}(11) = 4^{5} \mod 21 = 16 \mod 21$ $Dec_{21,5}(16) = 16^{5} \mod 21 = 4^{5} \cdot 4^{5} \mod 21$ $= 16 \cdot 16 \mod 21 = 4$

Is Plain-RSA Secure?

• It is deterministic so cannot be secure!

Additional Attacks

Additional Attacks

Encrypting short messages using small *e*:

- When $m < N^{1/e}$, raising m to the e-th power modulo N involves no modular reduction.
- Can compute $m = c^{1/e}$ over the integers.