

# Introduction to Cryptology

## Lecture 13

# Announcements

- Midterm Upcoming on 3/16
  - Review sheet and solutions will be posted soon
  - Cheat sheet will be included in exam
- Please pick up Homeworks during my office hours or TA's office hours!

# Agenda

- Last time:
  - Domain extension for MACs (K/L 4.4)
  - CCA security (K/L 3.7)
  - Authenticated Encryption (K/L 4.5)
- This time:
  - Collision-Resistant Hash Functions (K/L 5.1)
  - Class Exercise
  - Domain Extension (Merkle-Damgard) (K/L 5.2)
  - Domain Extension (Sponge)

# Collision Resistant Hashing

# Collision Resistant Hashing

Definition: A hash function (with output length  $\ell$ ) is a pair of ppt algorithms  $(Gen, H)$  satisfying the following:

- $Gen$  takes as input a security parameter  $1^n$  and outputs a key  $s$ . We assume that  $1^n$  is implicit in  $s$ .
- $H$  takes as input a key  $s$  and a string  $x \in \{0,1\}^*$  and outputs a string  $H^s(x) \in \{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$ .

If  $H^s$  is defined only for inputs  $x \in \{0,1\}^{\ell'(n)}$  and  $\ell'(n) > \ell(n)$ , then we say that  $(Gen, H)$  is a fixed-length hash function for inputs of length  $\ell'$ . In this case, we also call  $H$  a compression function.

# The collision-finding experiment

*Hashcoll*<sub>A,Π</sub>(*n*):

1. A key  $s$  is generated by running  $Gen(1^n)$ .
2. The adversary  $A$  is given  $s$  and outputs  $x, x'$ . (If  $\Pi$  is a fixed-length hash function for inputs of length  $\ell'(n)$ , then we require  $x, x' \in \{0,1\}^{\ell'(n)}$ .)
3. The output of the experiment is defined to be 1 if and only if  $x \neq x'$  and  $H^s(x) = H^s(x')$ . In such a case we say that  $A$  has found a collision.

# Security Definition

Definition: A hash function  $\Pi = (Gen, H)$  is collision resistant if for all ppt adversaries  $A$  there is a negligible function  $neg$  such that

$$\Pr[Hashcoll_{A,\Pi}(n) = 1] \leq neg(n).$$

# Weaker Notions of Security

- Second preimage or target collision resistance: Given  $s$  and a uniform  $x$  it is infeasible for a ppt adversary to find  $x' \neq x$  such that  $H^s(x') = H^s(x)$ .
- Preimage resistance: Given  $s$  and uniform  $y$  it is infeasible for a ppt adversary to find a value  $x$  such that  $H^s(x) = y$ .



# Domain Extension

# The Merkle-Damgård Transform




FIGURE 5.1: The Merkle-Damgård transform.

# The Merkle-Damgard Transform

Let  $(Gen, h)$  be a fixed-length hash function for inputs of length  $2n$  and with output length  $n$ . Construct hash function  $(Gen, H)$  as follows:

- $Gen$ : remains unchanged
- $H$ : on input a key  $s$  and a string  $x \in \{0,1\}^*$  of length  $L < 2^n$ , do the following:
  1. Set  $B := \left\lceil \frac{L}{n} \right\rceil$  (i.e., the number of blocks in  $x$ ). Pad  $x$  with zeros so its length is a multiple of  $n$ . Parse the padded result as the sequence of  $n$ -bit blocks  $x_1, \dots, x_B$ . Set  $x_{B+1} := L$ , where  $L$  is encoded as an  $n$ -bit string.
  2. Set  $z_0 := 0^n$ . (This is also called the IV.)
  3. For  $i = 1, \dots, B + 1$ , compute  $z_i := h^s(z_{i-1} || x_i)$ .
  4. Output  $z_{B+1}$ .

# Security of Merkle-Damgard

Theorem: If  $(Gen, h)$  is collision resistant, then so is  $(Gen, H)$ .

# Message Authentication Using Hash Functions

# Hash-and-Mac Construction

Let  $\Pi = (Mac, Vrfy)$  be a MAC for messages of length  $\ell(n)$ , and let  $\Pi_H = (Gen_H, H)$  be a hash function with output length  $\ell(n)$ . Construct a MAC  $\Pi' = (Gen', Mac', Vrfy')$  for arbitrary-length messages as follows:

- $Gen'$ : on input  $1^n$ , choose uniform  $k \in \{0,1\}^n$  and run  $Gen_H(1^n)$  to obtain  $s$ . The key is  $k' := \langle k, s \rangle$ .
- $Mac'$ : on input a key  $\langle k, s \rangle$  and a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$ , output  $t \leftarrow Mac_k(H^s(m))$ .
- $Vrfy'$ : on input a key  $\langle k, s \rangle$ , a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$ , and a MAC tag  $t$ , output 1 if and only if  $Vrfy_k(H^s(m), t) = 1$ .

# Security of Hash-and-MAC

Theorem: If  $\Pi$  is a secure MAC for messages of length  $\ell$  and  $\Pi_H$  is collision resistant, then the construction above is a secure MAC for **arbitrary-length** messages.

# Proof Intuition

Let  $Q$  be the set of messages  $m$  queried by adversary  $A$ .

Assume  $A$  manages to forge a tag for a message  $m^* \notin Q$ .

There are two cases to consider:

1.  $H^S(m^*) = H^S(m)$  for some message  $m \in Q$ .  
Then  $A$  breaks **collision resistance** of  $H^S$ .
2.  $H^S(m^*) \neq H^S(m)$  for all messages  $m \in Q$ .  
Then  $A$  forges a valid tag with respect to MAC  $\Pi$ .