

- Let *G* be a pseudorandom generator where |G(s)| = |s| + 1
- 1. Define  $G'(s) = G(s||\overline{s})$ , where  $\overline{s}$  is the bit-wise negation of s. Is G' necessarily a pseudorandom generator?

Short answer: No.  $s||\overline{s}|$  is not uniformly distributed and the guarantees of a PRG hold only when its input is uniformly distributed. To see why it is not uniformly distributed: Assume s has length n. The number of elements in the set of strings of the form  $s||\overline{s}|$  is only  $2^n$ , whereas the number of 2n-bit strings is  $2^{2n}$ . Therefore, we are sampling from a  $1/2^n$ fraction of the total number of strings.

2. Define  $G'(s) = G(s)||G(\overline{s})$ , where  $\overline{s}$  is the bit-wise negation of s. Is G' necessarily a pseudorandom generator?

Short answer: No. We can generate multiple pseudorandom strings using a PRG, but each time we run the PRG the seed must be chosen uniformly at random and independently from all other seeds. In this case, each of  $s, \overline{s}$  are individually uniform random, but they are not independent.

3. Define  $G'(s) = G(s)_1 || G(G(s)_2, ..., G(s)_{|s|+1})$ , where  $G(s)_i$  denotes the *i*-th output bit of G(s). Is G' necessarily a pseudorandom generator?

Short answer: Yes. We are basically running a PRG on the output of a PRG. We can argue as follows: The output of the first invocation of the PRG is pseudorandom since the seed *s* was uniform random. The second invocation does not get a uniform random input, but a pseudorandom input. But pseudorandom is as good as random when we are concerned with poly-time distinguishers only (as is the case here). So the output of the second invocation is also pseudorandom.