

# Cryptography

## Lecture 17

# Announcements

- HW4 due 4/10/24

# Agenda

- More Number Theory!

# Other groups over the integers

- We will be interested mainly in multiplicative groups over the integers, since there are computational problems believed to be hard over such groups.
  - Such hard problems are the basis of number-theoretic cryptography.
- Group operation is multiplication mod  $p$ , instead of addition mod  $p$ .

# Multiplication mod p

Example:

$$3 \cdot 8 \text{ mod } 13 \equiv 24 \text{ mod } 13 \equiv 11 \text{ mod } 13.$$

# Multiplicative Groups

Is  $Z_p$  a group with respect to multiplication mod  $p$ ?

- Closure—YES
- Identity—YES (1 instead of 0)
- Associativity—YES
- Inverse—NO
  - 0 has no inverse since there is no integer  $a$  such that  $0 \cdot a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

# Multiplicative Group

For  $p$  prime, define  $Z_p^* = \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$  with operation multiplication mod  $p$ .

We will see that  $Z_p^*$  is indeed a multiplicative group!

To prove that  $Z_p^*$  is a multiplicative group, it is sufficient to prove that every element has a multiplicative inverse (since we have already argued that all other properties of a group are satisfied).

This is highly non-trivial, we will see how to prove it using the Euclidean Algorithm.

# Inefficient method of finding inverses mod p

Example: Multiplicative inverse of 9 mod 11.

$$\begin{aligned}9 \cdot 1 &\equiv 9 \pmod{11} \\9 \cdot 2 &\equiv 18 \equiv 7 \pmod{11} \\9 \cdot 3 &\equiv 27 \equiv 5 \pmod{11} \\9 \cdot 4 &\equiv 36 \equiv 3 \pmod{11} \\9 \cdot 5 &\equiv 45 \equiv 1 \pmod{11}\end{aligned}$$

What is the time complexity?

Brute force search. In the worst case must try all 10 numbers in  $Z_{11}^*$  to find the inverse.

This is **exponential** time! Why? Inputs to the algorithm are (9,11). The length of the input is the length of the binary representation of (9,11). This means that input size is approx.  $\log_2 11$  while the runtime is approx.  $2^{\log_2 11} = 11$ . The runtime is exponential in the input length.

Fortunately, there is an efficient algorithm for computing inverses.



# Euclidean Algorithm

Theorem: Let  $a, p$  be positive integers. Then there exist integers  $X, Y$  such that  $Xa + Yp = \gcd(a, p)$ .

Given  $a, p$ , the Euclidean algorithm can be used to compute  $\gcd(a, p)$  in polynomial time. The extended Euclidean algorithm can be used to compute  $X, Y$  in polynomial time.



# Proving $Z_p^*$ is a multiplicative group

In the following we prove that every element in  $Z_p^*$  has a multiplicative inverse when  $p$  is prime. This is sufficient to prove that  $Z_p^*$  is a multiplicative group.

Proof. Let  $a \in Z_p^*$ . Then  $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ , since  $p$  is prime.

By the Euclidean Algorithm, we can find integers  $X, Y$  such that  $aX + pY = \gcd(a, p) = 1$ .

Rearranging terms, we get that  $pY = (aX - 1)$  and so  $p \mid (aX - 1)$ .

By definition of modulo, this implies that  $aX \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

By definition of inverse, this implies that  $X$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $a$ .

Note: By above, the **extended Euclidean algorithm** gives us a way to **compute the multiplicative inverse in polynomial time**.

# Extended Euclidean Algorithm

## Example

Find:  $X, Y$  such that  $9X + 23Y = \gcd(9, 23) = 1$ .

$$23 = 2 \cdot 9 + 5$$

$$9 = 1 \cdot 5 + 4$$

$$5 = 1 \cdot 4 + 1$$

$$4 = 4 \cdot 1 + 0$$

$$1 = 5 - 1 \cdot 4$$

$$1 = 5 - 1 \cdot (9 - 1 \cdot 5)$$

$$1 = (23 - 2 \cdot 9) - (9 - (23 - 2 \cdot 9))$$

$$1 = 2 \cdot 23 - 5 \cdot 9$$

$-5 = 18 \pmod{23}$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $9 \pmod{23}$ .



# Time Complexity of Euclidean Algorithm

When finding  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ , the “ $b$ ” value gets halved every two rounds.

Why?

Time complexity:  $2\log(b)$ .

This is polynomial in the length of the input.

Why?

# Modular Exponentiation

# Modular Exponentiation

Is the following algorithm efficient (i.e. poly-time)?

ModExp( $a, m, N$ ) //computes  $a^m \bmod N$

Set  $temp := 1$

For  $i = 1$  to  $m$

Set  $temp := (temp \cdot a) \bmod N$

return  $temp$ ;



# Modular Exponentiation

Is the following algorithm efficient (i.e. poly-time)?

```
ModExp( $a, m, N$ ) //computes  $a^m \bmod N$   
  Set  $temp := 1$   
  For  $i = 1$  to  $m$   
    Set  $temp := (temp \cdot a) \bmod N$   
  return  $temp$ ;
```

No—the run time is  $O(m)$ .  $m$  can be on the order of  $N$ . This means that the runtime is on the order of  $O(N)$ , while to be efficient it must be on the order of  $O(\log N)$ .

# Modular Exponentiation

We can obtain an efficient algorithm via “repeated squaring.”

$\text{ModExp}(a, m, N)$  //computes  $a^m \bmod N$ , where  $m = m_{n-1}m_{n-2} \cdots m_1m_0$  are the bits of  $m$ .

Set  $s := a$

Set  $temp := 1$

For  $i = 0$  to  $n - 1$

    If  $m_i = 1$

        Set  $temp := (temp \cdot s) \bmod N$

    Set  $s := s^2 \bmod N$

return  $temp$ ;

This is clearly efficient since the loop runs for  $n$  iterations, where  $n = \log_2 m$ .

# Modular Exponentiation

Why does it work?

$$m = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} m_i \cdot 2^i$$

Consider  $a^m = a^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} m_i \cdot 2^i} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} a^{m_i \cdot 2^i}$ .

In the efficient algorithm:

$s$  values are precomputations of  $a^{2^i}$ , for  $i = 0$  to  $n - 1$  (this is the “repeated squaring” part since  $a^{2^i} = (a^{2^{i-1}})^2$ ).

If  $m_i = 1$ , we multiply in the corresponding  $s$ -value.

If  $m_i = 0$ , then  $a^{m_i \cdot 2^i} = a^0 = 1$  and so we skip the multiplication step.

# Getting Back to $Z_p^*$

Group  $Z_p^* = \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$  operation:  
multiplication modulo  $p$ .

**Order** of a finite group is the number of  
elements in the group.

Order of  $Z_p^*$  is  $p - 1$ .

# Fermat's Little Theorem

Theorem: For prime  $p$ , integer  $a$ :

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}.$$

Corollary: For prime  $p$  and  $a$  such that  $(a, p) = 1$ :

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

# Generalized Theorem

Theorem: Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $m = |G|$ , the order of the group. Then for any element  $g \in G$ ,  $g^m = 1$ .

Corollary of Fermat's Little Theorem is a special case of the above when  $G$  is the multiplicative group  $Z_p^*$  and  $p$  is prime.

# Multiplicative Groups Mod $N$

- What about multiplicative groups modulo  $N$ , where  $N$  is composite?
- Which numbers  $\{1, \dots, N - 1\}$  have multiplicative inverses *mod*  $N$ ?
  - $a$  such that  $\gcd(a, N) = 1$  has multiplicative inverse by Extended Euclidean Algorithm.
  - $a$  such that  $\gcd(a, N) > 1$  does not, since  $\gcd(a, N)$  is the smallest positive integer that can be written in the form  $Xa + YN$  for integer  $X, Y$ .
- Define  $Z_N^* := \{a \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\} \mid \gcd(a, N) = 1\}$ .
- $Z_N^*$  is an abelian, multiplicative group.
  - Why does closure hold?

# Order of Multiplicative Groups Mod N

- What is the order of  $Z_N^*$ ?
- This has a name. The order of  $Z_N^*$  is the quantity  $\phi(N)$ , where  $\phi$  is known as the **Euler totient function** or **Euler phi function**.
- Assume  $N = p \cdot q$ , where  $p, q$  are distinct primes.
  - $\phi(N) = N - p - q + 1 = p \cdot q - p - 1 + 1 = (p - 1)(q - 1)$ .
  - Why?



# Order of Multiplicative Groups Mod N

General Formula:

Theorem: Let  $N = \prod_i p_i^{e_i}$  where the  $\{p_i\}$  are distinct primes and  $e_i \geq 1$ . Then

$$\phi(N) = \prod_i p_i^{e_i-1} (p_i - 1).$$

# Another Special Case of Generalized Theorem

Corollary of generalized theorem:

For  $a$  such that  $\gcd(a, N) = 1$ :

$$a^{\phi(N)} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}.$$

# Another Useful Theorem

Theorem: Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $m = |G| > 1$ . Then for any  $g \in G$  and any integer  $x$ , we have

$$g^x = g^{x \bmod m}.$$

Proof: We write  $x = a \cdot m + b$ , where  $a$  is an integer and  $b \equiv x \pmod{m}$ .

- $g^x = g^{a \cdot m + b} = (g^m)^a \cdot g^b$
- By “generalized theorem” we have that  $(g^m)^a \cdot g^b = 1^a \cdot g^b = g^b = g^{x \bmod m}$ .

# An Example:

Compute  $3^{25} \pmod{35}$  by hand.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(35) &= \phi(5 \cdot 7) = (5 - 1)(7 - 1) = 24 \\ 3^{25} &\equiv 3^{25 \pmod{24}} \pmod{35} \equiv 3^1 \pmod{35} \\ &\equiv 3 \pmod{35}.\end{aligned}$$