# Cryptography

Lecture 14

#### Announcements

- Midterm grades, solutions posted
  - Median was 68
  - Please read over solutions before re-grade requests
- Two extra credit opportunities
  - Current events (up to +5 added to midterm grade)
  - Scholarly paper (up to +10 added to midterm grade)

## Agenda

- This time: New Unit
  - Practical constructions of Block Ciphers
    - SPN (K/L 6.2)
    - Feistel Networks (K/L 6.2)

# **Block Ciphers**

Recall: A block cipher is an efficient, keyed permutation  $F: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{\ell}$ . This means the function  $F_k(x) \coloneqq F(k,x)$  is a bijection, and moreover,  $F_k$  and its inverse  $F_k^{-1}$  are efficiently computable given k.

- *n* is the key length
- $\ell$  is the block length

## **Block Cipher Security**

Call for proposals for the AES competition: 1997-2000

"The security provided by an algorithm is the most important factor... Algorithms will be judged on the following factors... The extent to which the algorithm output is indistinguishable from a random permutation..."

Note: It is assumed the adversary gets to query both  $F_k$ ,  $F_k^{-1}$  or f,  $f^{-1}$ , which means we want a **strong** pseudorandom permutation.

#### First Idea

- Random permutations over small domains are "efficient."
  - What does this mean?
- First attempt to define  $F_k$ :
  - The key k for F will specify 16 permutations  $f_1, \ldots, f_{16}$  that each have an 8-bit block length ( $16 \cdot 8 = 128$  input length in total).
  - Given an input  $x \in \{0,1\}^{128}$ , parse it as 16 bytes  $x_1, \dots, x_{16}$  and then set  $F_k(x) = f_1(x_1)||\cdots ||f_{16}(x_{16})$
  - Is this a permutation?
  - Is this indistinguishable from a random permutation?

## Shannon's Confusion-Diffusion Paradigm

Above step is called the "confusion" step. It is combined with a "diffusion" step: The bits of the output are permuted or "mixed," using a mixing permutation.

- Confusion/Diffusion steps taken together are called a round
- Multiple rounds required for a secure block cipher

Example: First compute intermediate value  $y = f_1(x_1)|| \cdots ||f_{16}(x_{16})|$ . Then permute the bits of y.

# Substitution-Permutation Network (SPN)

In practice, round-functions are not random permutations, since it would be difficult to implement this in practice.

• Why?

- Instead, round functions have a specific form:
- Rather than have a portion of the key k specify an arbitrary permutation f, we instead fix a public "substitution function" (i.e. permutation) S, called an S-box.
- Let k define the function f given by  $f(x) = S(k \oplus x)$ .

# Informal Description of SPN

- 1. Key mixing: Set  $x \coloneqq x \oplus k$ , where k is the current round sub-key.
- 2. Substitution: Set  $x \coloneqq S_1(x_1) || \cdots || S_8(x_8)$ , where  $x_i$  is the *i*-th byte of x.
- 3. Permutation: Permute the bits of *x* to obtain the output of the round.
- 4. Final mixing step: After the last round there is a final key-mixing step. The result is the output of the cipher.
  - Why is this needed?
- Different sub-keys (round keys) are used in each round.
  - Master key is used to derive round sub-keys according to a key schedule.

#### Formal Description of SPN



#### SPN is a permutation

Proposition: Let F be a keyed function defined by an SPN in which the S-boxes are all permutations. Then regardless of the key schedule and the number of rounds,  $F_k$  is a permutation for any k.

## How many rounds needed for security?

The avalanche effect.

Random permutation: When a single input bit is changed to go from x to x', each bit of f(x) should be flipped with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

- S-boxes are designed so that changing a single bit of the input to an S-box changes at least two bits in the output of the S-box.
- The mixing permutations are designed so that the output bits of any given *S*-box are used as input to multiple *S*-boxes in the next round.

## The Avalanche Effect

f(x) vs. f(x') where x, x' differ in one bit:

- 1. After the first round the intermediate values differ in exactly two bit-positions. Why?
- 2. The mixing permutation spreads these two bit positions into two different *S*-boxes in the second round.
  - At the end of the second round, intermediate values differ in 4 bits.
- Continuing the same argument, we expect 8 bits of the intermediate value to be affected after the 3<sup>rd</sup> round, 16 after the 4<sup>th</sup> round, and all 128 bits of the output to be affected at the end of the 7<sup>th</sup> round.

#### Practical SPN

- Usually use more than 7 rounds
- *S*-boxes are NOT random permutations.

## Attacking Reduced-Round SPN

Trivial case: Attacking one round SPN with no final key-mixing step.

## Attacking Reduced-Round SPN

One-round SPN: 16-bit block length. S -boxes with 4-bit input. Independent, 16-bit subkeys.

First attempt at attack:

- Give an input/output pair (*x*, *y*)
- Enumerate over all possible values for the second-round subkey  $k_2$ .
- For each such value, invert the final key-mixing step to get a candidate output y'.
- Given (x, y'), the first round subkey  $k_1$  is determined.
- Use additional input-output pairs to determine the correct  $(k_1||k_2)$  pair.

How long does this attack take?

## Attacking Reduced-Round SPN

One-round SPN: 16-bit block length. *S* -boxes with 4-bit input. Independent, 16-bit

subkeys. Improved attack—work byte-by-byte:

- Given an input/output pair (x, y)
- Enumerate over all possible values for the 4 bit positions corresponding to the output of the first
- S -box for the second-round subkey  $k_2$ .
- For each such value, invert the final key-mixing step to get a candidate 8-bt output y'.
- Given (x, y') the first 4-bits of the first-round subkey  $k_1$  are determined.
- Construct a table of  $2^4$  possible key values for each block of 4-bits of  $k_{1}$ ,  $k_{2}$ .
- Use additional input-output pairs to determine the correct 4-bits of  $k_1$  and first 4 bits of  $k_2$ .

How long does this attack take?  $4 \cdot 2^4 = 2^6$ .

Can be improved: Use additional input/output pairs. Incorrect pair  $\begin{pmatrix} k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$  will work on two pairs with probability  $2^{-4}$ . Can use small number of input/output pairs to narrow down all tables to a single value each at which point the entire master key is known. In expectation, a single additional pair will reduce each table to a single consistent key value.

#### Lessons Learned

It should not be possible to work independently on different parts of the key.

More diffusion is required. More rounds are necessary to achieve this.

#### Sample Quiz Questions:

Given a block cipher with key length 256 and block length 128, how many pairs of input/ outputs are expected to be needed to eliminate all keys but 1 in a brute force search?

Given the avalanche effect, what is the minimum number of rounds needed for an SPN with block length 64?

#### Feistel Networks An alternative approach to Block Cipher Design

## Feistel Networks

- The underlying round functions do not need to be invertible.
- Feistel network allows us to construct an invertible function from non-invertible components.
- With enough rounds, can construct a PRP from a PRF.

## (Balanced) Feistel Network

- The *i*th round function  $\hat{f}_i$  takes as input a sub-key  $k_i$  and an  $\ell/2$ -bit string and outputs an  $\ell/2$ -bit string.
- Master key k is used to derive sub-keys for each round.
- Note that the round functions  $\hat{f}_i$  are fixed and publicly known, but the  $f_i(R) \coloneqq \hat{f}_i(k_i, R)$  depend on the master key and are not known to the attacker.

## *i*-th Feistel Round

- If the block length of the cipher is  $\ell$  bits, then  $L_{i-1}$  and  $R_{i-1}$  each has length  $\ell/2$ .
- The output  $(L_i, R_i)$  of the round is:
- $L_i \coloneqq R_{i-1} \text{ and } R_i \coloneqq L_{i-1} \bigoplus f_i(R_{i-1})$

#### A three-round Feistel Network



FIGURE 6.4: A 3-round Feistel network.

#### Feistel Networks are invertible

Proposition: Let F be a keyed function defined by a Feistel network. Then regardless of the round functions  $\{\hat{f}_i\}$  and the number of rounds,  $F_k$  is an efficiently invertible permutation for all k.